UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,501	12/17/2001	Guido Henning	Le A 35 012	4394
Jeffrey M. Gree	7590 06/04/200 enman	EXAMINER		
Vice President,	Patents and licensing	WALLENHORST, MAUREEN		
Bayer Corporation 400 Morgan Lane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
West Haven, C		1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/023,501	HENNING ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			ndonment of this			
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	,	26(a) and the appropriat	a automaian faa			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			appeal. Since a			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but a terminal disclaimer over application serial no. 10/022, rejection, and claim 7 directed to a test kit is still rejected USC 103 as being obvious over Rao et al or McNamara requested to file a proper terminal disclaimer over application.	618 is needed to overcome the obv I under 35 USC 102(b) as being an et al in view of Bacus et al for the r	iousness-type double ticipated by Bacus et easons of record. Ap	patenting al and under 35 plicants are			
application in condition for allowance.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080603

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, the rejection of claims 1-2, 5 and 9-10 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Rao et al, and the rejection of claims 1, 3-5 and 8-10 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by McNamara et al have been overcome by the amendment filed April 29, 2008.